

CATALOG DOCUMENTATION
EMAP - ESTUARIES PROGRAM LEVEL DATABASE
1992 FISH SPECIES DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION
2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION
3. DATA SET ABSTRACT
4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION
5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS
6. DATA MANIPULATIONS
7. DATA DESCRIPTION
8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION
9. QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE
10. DATA ACCESS
11. REFERENCES
12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS
13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Title of Catalog Document

EMAP-Estuaries Program Level Database
1992 Virginian Province
Fish Abundance, Composition and Length Data Summarized for each Taxon
Collected at a Station

1.2 Authors of the Catalog entry

Charles Strobel, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
Melissa Hughes, OAO Corporation

1.3 Catalog revision date

5 April 1996

1.4 Data set name

FISHSPEC

1.5 Task Group

Estuaries

1.6 Data set identification code

00066

1.7 Version

001

1.8 Requested Acknowledgment

If you plan to publish these data in any way, EPA requires a standard statement for work it has supported:

"Although the data described in this article have been funded wholly or in part by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency through its EMAP-Estuaries Program, it has not been subjected to Agency review, and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred."

2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION

2.1 Principal Investigator

Darryl Keith
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-AED

2.2. Investigation Participant-Sample Collection

Charles J. Strobel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-AED

3. DATA SET ABSTRACT

3.1 Abstract of the Data Set

The Fish Species data set is a synopsis of one successful standard trawl conducted at a station. The total count of individuals of each fish taxon caught in the standard trawl is reported. The length (mm) of up to 30 individuals caught in a standard trawl was measured, according to protocol. If there were two or more individuals of a taxon, the mean length and standard deviation of the mean were calculated. The length is reported for an individual. A count of pathologies observed on all individuals of a taxon may be summarized for up to four (4) categories: body, ocular, branchial and buccal. Each taxon is identified by a unique code which can be cross-referenced to the taxon phylogeny.

3.2 Keywords for the Data Set

Species abundance, species composition, species mean length, taxon abundance

4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION

4.1 Project and Investigation Objective

The Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) was designed to periodically estimate the status and trends of the Nation's ecological resources on a regional basis. EMAP provides a strategy to identify and bound the extent, magnitude and location of environmental degradation and improvement on a regional scale based on randomly located station sites.

4.2 Data Set Objective

The objective of the Fish Species data set was to collect information to characterize fish assemblages in the estuaries of the Virginian Provinces. Only the randomly located Base Sampling Sites (BASE) are included in this data set.

3.3 Background Discussion

Estuarine fish have economic, recreational, and ecological value. Some are harvested; others serve as forage for predatory organisms that have great aesthetic value (e.g., birds, sport fish, mammals). Most fish species hold a position near the top of the food chain. The impact of anthropogenic activities on fish concerns the public.

There are several advantages to using fish as potential indicators of estuarine condition. Because of their longevity and dominant position at the upper end of the food web, fish responses integrate many short-term and small-scale environmental perturbations. Fish are known to respond to most of the major environmental perturbations of concern in estuaries, including eutrophication, habitat modification and pathogenic or toxic contamination. Eutrophication can affect fish adversely by reducing dissolved oxygen below levels that are critical for growth or survival. Habitat modification, such as the loss of submerged aquatic vegetation, has been linked to decreased fish productivity through loss of important nursery areas. Toxic and pathogenic contaminants can decrease fish growth, reproduction or survival and can make fish unsafe for human consumption. Fish also are valuable as indicators because of their importance for determining the public perception of estuarine quality.

Factors controlling species composition and abundance of estuarine fish communities are complex and not well understood. However, most fish ecologists agree that the assemblage of fish that occurs at a sampling site is affected by water and sediment quality parameters, including contaminant concentrations and inputs, and habitat conditions. For example, polluted sites are thought to contain less diverse and less stable fish assemblages than unpolluted sites and are dominated by pollution-tolerant species, such as mummichogs and carp. The degree to which information on fish community composition can be used to assess the status of estuarine environments on regional scales is unknown. A major purpose of evaluating fish community composition was to determine whether regional scale information on fish community characteristics could be used as indicator of environmental quality. If fish community data could be used in this manner, it would be particularly meaningful to a broad range of audiences, especially the public.

4.4 Summary of Data Set Parameters

The raw data for species composition, abundance and length were recorded in the field after the completion of one successful standard trawl. Body pathologies observed by the field crew were recorded, but are not presented here.

5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS

5.1 Data Acquisition

5.1.1 Sampling Objective

Conduct one (1) successful standard fish trawl at a BASE Sampling Site suitable for the characterization of fish species composition, abundance and length.

5.1.2 Sample Collection Method Summary

A fish trawl is a funnel-shaped net that filters fish from the near bottom waters. Fish are herded by ground wire and doors into the mouth of the funnel where fish were captured. Fish are prevented from escaping over the top panel of the trawl by an overhanging panel. The net was towed for 10 + 2 minutes with a towing speed of 2-3 knots through the water against the prevailing current. Speed over the bottom was 1-3 knots.

All fish in the net were sorted by species and enumerated. All species considered to be rare, threatened, or endangered were processed immediately and released alive. Thirty individuals of a species (or all individuals if less than 30 were caught) were measured (fork length) to the nearest millimeter.

5.1.3 Beginning Sampling Date

27 July 1992

5.1.4 Ending Sampling Date

31 August 1992

5.1.5 Platform

Sampling was conducted from 8 m (24 ft), twin-engine Chesapeake style work boats.

5.1.6 Sampling Equipment

The trawl net was a funnel-shaped high rise sampling trawl with a 16-meter footrope with a chain sweep. The trawl net had 5 cm mesh wings and a 2.5 cm cod end.

5.1.7 Manufacturer of Equipment

Not Applicable

5.1.8 Key Variables

The total count of individuals of a taxon collected at a station, species information and individual length were recorded after sample collection.

5.1.9 Collection Method Calibration

The sampling gear did not require calibration. It required inspection for tears and proper assemblage.

5.1.10 Collection Quality Control

A trawl was considered void if one or more of the following conditions occurred:

1. A tow could not be completed because of hangdown, boat malfunction, vessel traffic, or major disruption of gear. However, a tow was considered acceptable if it was necessary to retrieve the net after at least eight minutes due to impending hazards, as long as the net was retrieved in the standard manner.
2. Boat speed or speed over the bottom was beyond the prescribed, acceptable range.
3. The cod-end of the net was not tied shut.
4. The trawl continued for more than twelve minutes or less than eight minutes.
5. The net was filled with mud or debris.
6. A portion of the catch was lost prior to processing.
7. The tow wire, bridle, headrope, footrope, or up and down lines parted.
8. The net was torn in a way that may have significantly altered the efficiency of the net.

If, due to repeated snags, a successful trawl could not be performed within 1 ½ hours of starting, no further attempts were made and the Field Operations Center was notified.

Quality assurance audits were performed by qualified personnel to verify the enumeration of fish by the field crews. The accuracy goal for the fish abundance data was that the original results and the results of the field QA audit should agree within ten percent. In addition, the first one or two individual fish caught of any species were sent to the laboratory for taxonomic verification. All fish species should have been correctly identified. If these goals were not met, corrective actions included re-training the field crew and flagging the previous data from that crew for those species which had been misidentified. A random subset of the fish measured in the field was set aside for duplicate measurements by a second technician. The acceptable error in this procedure was + 5 mm. If this re-measurement procedure could not be followed due to logistical constraints, then quality assurance documentation of fish length was accomplished during field auditing.

The first two individuals of each species collected (except threatened or endangered species) were preserved and returned to ERL-N for expert identification. Fish sent in were preserved for the EMAP fish reference collection to be used for future training. If corrections to the fish data base were necessary due to the mis-identification of a species, these corrections were carefully documented. Field crews were also notified of their misidentification to avoid any further ID problems for that species.

5.1.11 Sample Collection Method Reference

Strobel, C.J. 1990. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Near Coastal Component: 1990 Demonstration Project Field Operations Manual. U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED, Narragansett, RI. October 1990.

5.2 Data Preparation and Sample Processing

Physical samples were not collected for estimates of fish species composition and abundance; therefore, sample processing methods are not applicable.

6. DATA MANIPULATIONS

6.1 Name of New or Modified Values

REP_NUM	Trawl Replicate Number
FSPECABN	Taxon Abundance (#/sample)
FSPEC_MM	Mean Length (mm) of Ind. of the Taxon
FSPECSTD	Standard Deviation of Mean Length (mm)

6.2 Data Manipulation Description

Count of trawl number
 Count of total individuals of a taxon collected at a station
 Mean length (mm) of each taxon collected at a station
 Standard deviation of the mean length

6.3 Data Manipulation Examples

FSPEC_MM (Mean Length of all Individuals of a Taxon) =
 Sum of all lengths of a taxon / total number of individuals of a taxon

FSPECSTD (Standard Deviation of the Mean Length) =
 The standard deviation was calculated when there was more than one length for a taxon

7. DATA DESCRIPTION

7.1 Description of Parameters

#	Parameter SAS Name	Data Type	Len	Format	Parameter Label
1	STA_NAME	Char	8	8.	The Station Identifier
2	VST_DATE	Num	8	YYMMDD6.	The Date the Sample was Collected
3	REP_NUM	Num	8	2.	Nekton Trawl Replicate Number
4	SPEC_CODE	Char	8	\$8.	EMAP Taxon Code
5	FSPECABN	Num	8	4.	Taxon Abundance (#/sample)

6	FSPEC_MM	Num	8	6.1	Mean Length (mm) of Ind. of the Taxon
7	FSPECSTD	Num	8	6.1	Standard Dev. of Length (mm)
8	FSPECBOD	Num	8	4.	# Body Path. on Ind. of the Taxon
9	FSPECBRN	Num	8	4.	# Branchial Path. on Ind. of the Taxon
10	FSPECBUC	Num	8	4.	# Buccal Path. on Ind. of the Taxon
11	FSPECOCU	Num	8	4.	# Eye Path. on Ind. of the Taxon

7.1.6 Precision to which values are reported

Total abundance is reported as a whole number.

Mean abundance and standard deviation (SD) are reported to 1 decimal place.

Pathology values are not reported.

7.1.7 Minimum Value in Data Set

REP_NUM	1.0000000
FSPECABN	0
FSPEC_MM	27.64
FSPECSTD	0

7.1.8 Maximum Value in Data Set

REP_NUM	1.0000000
FSPECABN	433
FSPEC_MM	840.00
FSPECSTD	155.06

7.2 Data Record Example

7.2.1 Column Names for Example Records

STA_NAME	VST_DATE	REP_NUM	SPECCODE	FSPECABN	FSPEC_MM	FSPECSTD	FSPECBOD
FSPECBRN	FSPECBUC	FSPECOCU					

7.2.2 Example Data Records

OBS	STA_NAME	VST_DATE	REP_NUM	SPECCODE	FSPECABN	FSPEC_MM	FSPECSTD
-----	----------	----------	---------	----------	----------	----------	----------

1	VA92-451	920810	1	CYNOREGA	13	88.1	20.4
2	VA92-451	920810	1	LEIOXANT	3	129.7	4.5
3	VA92-451	920810	1	MICRUNDU	32	143.4	10.4
4	VA92-451	920810	1	OPSATAU	5	170.8	5.3
5	VA92-451	920810	1	PARADENT	1	250.0	.

OBS	FSPECBOD	FSPECBRN	FSPECBUC	FSPECOCU
-----	----------	----------	----------	----------

1
2
3
4
5

8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION

8.1 Minimum Longitude

-77 Degrees 19 Minutes 30.00 Decimal Seconds

8.2 Maximum Longitude

-69 Degrees 65 Minutes 27.60 Decimal Seconds

8.3 Minimum Latitude

36 Degrees 51 Minutes 51.00 Decimal Seconds

8.4 Maximum Latitude

42 Degrees 05 Minutes 15.49 Decimal Seconds

8.5 Name of area or region

Virginian Province

Stations were located in estuaries along the East Coast of the United States from Cape Cod, Massachusetts, to Cape Henry, Virginia, at the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay. The area includes the District of Columbia and the States of Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island and Massachusetts.

9. QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE

9.1 Measurement Quality Objectives

Measurement quality objectives were outlined in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (Valente and Schoenherr, 1991). Accuracy and precision goals are outlined below:

Fish Community Composition	Accuracy Goal	Completeness Goal
Counting	10 %	90 %
Taxonomic Identification	10 %	90 %
Length Determinations	+ 5 mm	90 %

9.2 Quality Assurance/Control Methods

Data from trawls which did not meet the requirements of a standard trawl were not included in this data set.

To further validate the identification of fish species, range checks were performed for species in the data base to assure that fish captured at a given station met certain criteria:

Salinity: For each station, bottom salinity was determined from the CTD cast and compared to the expected salinity range (based on historic data) for each species of fish captured at that station. Species records

falling out of the salinity range were flagged.

Species location: A latitude range for each species captured by EMAP field crews was established based on historic data and fish keys. Each system that a particular species occurred in was compared to that range to determine inclusion. Latitudes where fish were reported captured were compared to expected latitudes for that species and flagged if there were discrepancies.

Length: Maximum length for each species was determined from fish keys. A QA length was calculated as 50% of the maximum length and outliers were flagged. Flagged data records were then investigated on a case by case basis to determine the cause of discrepancy and recommend a course of action.

9.3 Quality Assessment Results

To verify each crew's ability to correctly identify fish species for the community structure indicator, the first two individuals of each species collected by each crew was shipped to ERL-N for verification by an expert taxonomist. Three types of errors were detected: misspelled or incomplete species names (in the database), misidentifications, and fish that could not be identified in the field. Errors falling into the first category were easily detected, corrected in the database, and documented.

Of the 397 fish sent in for taxonomic verification, 36 were misidentified. In all cases the crew identified a closely-related species, such as longspine porgy instead of scup, or brown bullhead catfish instead of the yellow bullhead. An additional eight individuals were sent in as unknowns or partial unknowns (e.g., herring uncl.). Most mis-identified or partially identified individuals were juveniles.

The total of 44 incomplete identifications or misidentifications represent 116 fish records in the database (including other fish of the same species caught in the same trawl). A total of 14,704 fish were collected in all trawls (both standard and non-standard) from all station types during the 1992 field season representing 78 species. The percentage of errors detected was therefore less than one percent. All errors were corrected in the database. If a QA fish was misidentified by the crew, all other fish in the same size class of that species from the same trawl were changed to the correct ID.

10. DATA ACCESS

10.1 Data Access Procedures

Data can be downloaded from the WWW server.

10.2 Data Access Restrictions

10.3 Data Access Contact Persons

John Paul, Ph.D.
U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
(401) 782-3037 (Tel.)
(401) 782-3030 (FAX)
paul.john@epa.gov

Data Librarian EMAP-Estuaries
U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
(401) 782-3184 (Tel.)
(401) 782-3030 (FAX)
hughes.melissa@epa.gov

10.4 Data Set Format

Data can be downloaded in several formats from the web application and web site.

10.5 Information Concerning Anonymous FTP

Not accessible

10.6 Information Concerning WWW

Data can be downloaded from the WWW server.

10.7 EMAP CD-ROM Containing the Data Set

Data not available on CD-ROM.

11. REFERENCES

Holland, A.F., ed. 1990. Near Coastal Program Plan for 1990: Estuaries. EPA 600/4-90/033. U.S. EPA, Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, Narragansett, RI. November 1990.

Reifsteck, D.R., Strobel, C.J. and S.C. Schimmel. 1992. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Estuaries: 1992 Virginian Province Effort, Field Operations and Safety Manual. U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED, Narragansett, RI. June 1992.

Valente, R., C.J. Strobel and S.C. Schimmel. 1992. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Estuaries: 1992 Virginian Province Quality Assurance Project Plan. U.S. EPA, NHEERL-AED, Narragansett, RI. July 1992.

12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS

13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Virginian Province Manager
Darryl Keith
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
(401)782-3135 (Tel.)
(401)782-3030 (FAX)
keith.darryl@epa.gov

Virginian Province Quality Assurance Officer
Charles J. Strobels
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
(401)782-3180 (Tel.)
(401)782-3030 (FAX)
strobels.charlie@epa.gov

John Paul, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
(401) 782-3037 (Tel.)
(401) 782-3030 (FAX)
paul.john@epa.gov

Data Librarian, EMAP-Estuaries
Melissa M. Hughes
OAO Corporation
U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
(401) 782-3184 (Tel.)
(401) 782-3030 (FAX)
hughes.melissa@epa.gov